1	J. Christopher Jaczko (149317)	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Allison H. Goddard (211098)  JACZKO GODDARD LLP  4401 East Gate Mall	
3	San Diego, CA 92121	
4	Telephone: (858) 550-6150 Facsimile: (858) 225-3500	
5	Raymond P. Niro ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Matthew G. McAndrews ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
6	Frederick C. Laney ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Dina M. Hayes ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
7	NIRO, SCAVONE, HALLER & NIRO 181 West Madison Street, Suite 4600	
8	Chicago, Illinois 60602 Telephone: (312) 236-0733	
9	Facsimile: (312) 236-3137	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff DR SYSTEMS, INC.	
11		
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE SOUTHERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	DR SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation,	) Case No.: 08 CV 00669 H (BLM)
16		) ) ) DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO
16 17	Corporation,	)
16 17 18	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,  Counterclaimant,  v.  DR SYSTEMS, INC.,	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,  Counterclaimant,  v.	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,  Counterclaimant,  v.  DR SYSTEMS, INC.,	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation  Defendant.  EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,  Counterclaimant,  v.  DR SYSTEMS, INC.,	DR SYSTEMS' REPLY TO EASTMAN KODAK'S

Case 3:08-cv-00669-H-BLM Document 12 Filed 05/30/2008 Page 1 of 5

3

6

8

1011

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

<u>COUNTERCLAIMS</u>

6. On May 9, 1995, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,414,811 (the "811 Patent"), titled "Method and Apparatus for Controlling Rapid Display of Multiple Images From A Digital Image Database," a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A. Kodak is the assignee and owner of the '811 Patent.

Plaintiff, DR Systems, Inc. ("DR Systems"), responds to the Counterclaims of Defendant,

**Response:** DR Systems admits that a copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,414,811 titled "Method and Apparatus for Controlling Rapid Display of Multiple Images From A Digital Image Database" is attached as Exhibit A to the Answer. DR Systems further admits that the '811 patent indicates on its face that it issued on the asserted date and lists Kodak as the assignee. Otherwise, denied.

7. In violation of Kodak's exclusive rights under the patent laws of the United States, DR Systems has infringed and continues to infringe the '811 Patent by using, making, importing, offering for sale, and selling products that embody the inventions claimed in the '811 Patent, including without limitation the product lines referred to as Dominator, Catapult, and Vitrea. DR Systems is also contributing to and inducing infringement of the '811 Patent with respect to these products.

#### **Response:** Denied.

8. DR Systems was aware of the existence of the '811 Patent and, upon information and belief, has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe that patent.

### **Response:** Denied.

9. By reason of DR Systems' infringing activities, Kodak has suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

## **Response:** Denied.

10. DR Systems' conduct has also caused, and will continue to cause, Kodak irreparable harm. DR Systems' conduct is likely to continue unless it is enjoined from such conduct by this Court.

### **Response:** Denied.

11. This case is an exceptional one, and Kodak is entitled to an award of its reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

# **Response:** Denied.

1

2

5 6

4

7 8

10

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

DR Systems asserts the following Affirmative Defenses against Kodak's Counterclaims and reserves the right to further amend its responses as additional information becomes available:

- 1. The claims of United States Patent No. 5,414,811 are invalid, unenforceable and not infringed by DR Systems.
- 2. DR Systems has not knowingly contributed to or induced the infringement of others by willfully and intentionally aiding, assisting and encouraging the alleged infringement of the '811 patent.
- 3. DR Systems adopts and incorporates herein all affirmative defenses available pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 (or any applicable statute or regulation), to the extent the facts known at this time would make any of said defenses available or facts developed in the future would make same available. No affirmative defense is waived.

WHEREFORE, DR Systems requests that judgment be entered against Kodak and in DR Systems' favor on the Counterclaims brought by Kodak. DR Systems further requests that it be granted all of the relief requested in its Complaint.

### **JURY DEMAND**

DR Systems demands a trial by jury on all issues properly triable to a jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Frederick C. Laney

J. Christopher Jaczko (149317) Allison H. Goddard (211098) JACZKO GODDARD LLP 4401 East Gate Mall San Diego, CA 92121

Telephone: (858) 550-6150 Facsimile: (858) 225-3500

(312) 236-3137

Raymond P. Niro (Pro Hac Vice) Matthew G. McAndrews (*Pro Hac Vice*) Frederick C. Laney (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Dina M. Hayes (*Pro Hac Vice*)

NIRO, SCAVONE, HALLER & NIRO
181 West Madison Street, Sujte 4600

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Telephone: (312) 236-0733

Englimiter (212) 236-3137 Facsimile: Attorneys for Plaintiff DR SYSTEMS, INC. 

<u>Certificate of Service</u>

The undersigned hereby certifies that all below counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service were served the 30th day of May, 2008, with a copy of the **DR Systems' Reply to Eastman Kodak's Counterclaims** via the Court's CM/ECF system. I certify that all parties in this case are represented by counsel who are CM/ECF participants.

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Stephen M. Hankins (Cal. Bar No. 154886) One Market, Spear Street Tower, 32nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 901-8700 Facsimile: (415) 901-8701

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

/s/Frederick C. Laney
Attorneys for Plaintiff, DR Systems, Inc.